



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**  
**Pat nt and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

MF

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/166,625	10/05/98	MAY	D 1020-0501

IM62/0403  
MAGINOT ADDISON & MOORE  
BANK ONE CENTER/TOWER  
111 MONUMENT CIRCLE SUITE 3000  
INDIANAPOLIS IN 46204

EXAMINER

JUSKA, C

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1771

DATE MAILED: 04/03/00

13

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

**Commissioner of Patents and Trad marks**

# Interview Summary

Application No.  
09/166,625

Applicant(s)

May

Examiner

Cheryl Juska

Group Art Unit  
1771



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Cheryl Juska (3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Jerry Roberts (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview Mar 31, 2000

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☒ was reached. ☐ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: None

Identification of prior art discussed:  
none

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Roberts called to clarify the recent Advisory Action. First, he questioned the content of new matter. After reviewing the case with Terrel Morris, I responded that the issue of new matter was the word "preferably" with regard to the thickness of less than 10 mils. We agreed that if said word was deleted the new matter issue would be resolved. Additionally, I confirmed that a one month extension could be bought in order to file a CPA.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.